Controversies of Neoadjuvant Therapy for Her2-Positive Breast Cancer: Some Latest Perspectives
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The Her-2 positive breast cancer is one of the most prevalent types of breast cancer and it is highly recommended that anti-Her agents should always be a part of the treatment regimen. Although some studies over the treatment of Her-2 positive breast cancer have provided us with solid evidence in target therapy, several perspectives should be further discussed and emphasized.

Recent clinical study confirmed that the dual Her-2-blockade treatment did not significantly increase the pathologic complete response rates (pCRs) comparing to single Her2 targeting. According to the results of CALGB 40601 trial [1], patients with stage II to III Her2-positive breast cancer underwent tumor biopsy followed by random assignment to paclitaxel plus trastuzumab alone or with the addition of lapatinib for 16 weeks before surgery. Among all the patients, the pCR rate was 56% (95% CI, 47% to 65%) with the combination of paclitaxel, trastuzumab and lapatinib. Whereas, the pCR was 46% (95% CI, 37% to 55%) with paclitaxel plus trastuzumab. Clearly, the results showed no effect of dual therapy in the hormone receptor–positive subset. The reason may lies in the different tumor subtypes, in which they had low expression of proliferation-related genes and high expression of hormone receptor signaling-related genes. However, the deep mechanism concerning the intratumoral heterogeneity and tumor reprogramming cannot be fully explained. Thus, more investigations are needed to evaluate dual versus single Her-2 targeting within individual subtypes.

As for targeting therapy medications, such as trastuzumab or pertuzumab, some adverse events were proved to be ethnic-related and do need more attention in clinical research, according to the phase III CLEOPATRA trial conducted by Sandra M. Swain et al. [2]. Data from the trial showed that patients with Her2-positive metastatic breast cancer from Asia underwent serious toxicity adverse events with pertuzumab than patients from other regions. In this trial, Patients were recruited from Europe (37.9%), Asia (31.3%), North America (16.7%), and South America (14.1%). The experimental arms, treated with pertuzumab, had an incidence of 26% in the Asia patients with febrile neutropenia, which could also result in higher incidence of mucosal inflammation and diarrhea, while the incidence was 10% or less in each arm in patients from other region. The authors found more than half of the patients from Asian had a lower weight, body surface area and body mass index than other region, which may be relevant to the high toxicity rate. It should be noted that the ethnic differences is an important issue in clinical research, and further investigations are necessary to figure out a more adaptable treatment for patients with different ethnicities and regions.

What is also worth mentioning that the cardiotoxicity of trastuzumab remains a controversy [3]. Some studies had provided solid fact that trastuzumab was safe to cardiac system [4], but the negative side held the view that trastuzumab had an irreversible cardiac damage on patients, which was also credible in research data [5]. Consequently, some double-blind, multi-center studies are needed to focus on the adverse events of trastuzumab in order to clarify the underlying drug-induced adverse events.

In addition, the cost-effectiveness is also an important component for economic evaluation in future treatment. In a study conducted...
by Nicole Meyer et al. [6], the results presents an average cost of $11,107 per patient/month in Her2-target recipients in US, indicating Her2-target agent recipients have high level of healthcare utilization and costs. And the cost of therapy can limit patient access to trastuzumab in areas that financial resources are limited for treatment. What treatment protocol we should choose to utilize the cost without compromising the efficacy?

More studies are needed to evaluate the cost effectiveness of target treatment agent in different region with a diverse horizon.
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